



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,532 02/15/2002		02/15/2002	Yuhko Ohmori	JP9 2000 0443	3532
25299	7590	06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM COR	PORATI	ON	TRAN, HENRY N		
PO BOX 12 DEPT 9CC.		002	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2674	R.
				DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	, i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/077,532	OHMORI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	HENRY N TRAN	2674						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	arch 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	*							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applications ity documents have been receive	on No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ppromon (r 10 102)						

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment received 3/15/04 (Paper No. 5) was entered. Applicants' Arguments/Remarks have been fully considered, with the results set forth as follows.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the; "an input ...keeping unit", "a double-click determining unit", "an information notifying unit", see claim 1, must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2674

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bi et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,262,719).

Bi et al teach a computer system and methods for setting up input devices, the computer system comprising: a memory, a processor, and window applications running on the host computer 101 for keeping recognition information that allows a double click to be recognized for a mouse/a touch panel, for determining consecutive clicks to be a double click, and for notifying the double click to the host computer operating system; wherein, the first input device is a mouse and the second input device is a digitizer or touch panel 110 having a touching unit, which is a stylus pen or a user's finger, a double click interval time and a recognition area size for each input device are independently set and different, the double click interval time of the mouse is less than that of the touch panel (Bi et al explicitly teach the host computer executing windows application programs for modifying time (or speed) and distance for emulating and differentiating the mouse double click and the pen double click), see figures 1, 4 and 31-36; col. 5, line 58 to col. 6, line 9, col. 40, line 26 to col. 43, line 3).

NOTE: a user using Windows applications for setting double click speed (or time) and distance (or area) of a mouse button or of a tablet monitor pen, e.g. WIN.INI. of Microsoft and/or SONY workstation application programs, is old and well known in the art. In fact, in 2000, the examiner installed a home computer system, which was a SONY workstation, having a pen tablet monitor and windows applications capable of independently setting the speed and distance, which are time interval and area, of the mouse and the stylus pen individually. The

Art Unit: 2674

examiner could provide information regarding to the SONNY computer system later, if requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bi et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,262,719).

Bi et al teach generally all as discussed above. Bi et al also teach the use of a finger for the pen, see col. 5, line 58 to col. 6, line 9. Although Bi et al does not teach expressly that the stylus pen having a pen tip area smaller than that of a finger tip, and the double click time interval for the finger is longer than that of the stylus pen; but these facts are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Windows applications as taught by Bi et al discussed above for producing the claimed invention because this would make used of readily available software components to provide the enhance functionally and adaptability of a computer system having a touch panel using stylus pen or finger. By this rationale, claims 9-10 and 14-15 are rejected.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. They are US patents and Pub. Nos. 5559943, 6061051, 6424338, 6452617 and 2002/0080123, which teach mouse/pen or finger double click apparatus and methods.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENRY N. TRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-8410. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 8:00AM – 4:30PM.

If attempts to read the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A. HJERPE, can be reached at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2674

Washington, D.C. 20231

or fax to:

703-872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

HENRY N. TRAN

Harry W. Fram

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

Hnt

June 8, 2004

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER